Dynamical modeling of the Herschel-resolved Disk of ζ^2 Reticuli

Virginie Faramaz, IPAG-FOST team, PhD Student

Heidelberg-Grenoble Meeting

October 2012 8-9th











◆□> ◆□> ◆目> ◆目> ●目目 のへで

RESOLVED HERSCHEL/PACS IMAGES & INTERPRETATION



THE STAR

- G star in a binary
- Distance 12 pc
- Age 2-3 Gyr

THE DISK

- Probability for a background object 10⁻³
- Asymmetric double-lobed feature
- Disk most probably observed edge-on
- Image Ring 70-120 AU & Eccentricity ≥ 0.3

QUESTION

Can such asymmetries survive the Gyr timescales?

ECCENTRIC COMPANIONS : FOOTPRINTS ON DEBRIS DISKS The pericenter glow phenomenon (Wyatt)



Force the eccentricities of planetesimals

$$e_{\rm f} \simeq \frac{5}{4} \frac{\alpha e_{\rm p}}{1 - e_{\rm p}^2}$$

- Tend to make their orbits apsidally aligned
- Wait for the spirals appearing due to differential precession

timescales to be wounded

 $t_{\text{glow}} = \frac{2\pi}{(d\varpi/dt)_{100 \,\text{AU}}}$

Can only be produced by an eccentric companion (planetary or stellar) coplanar or almost to the disk.

The Binary Companion ζ^1 Ret?

- Projected distance from ζ^2 Ret : 3713 AU
- Pair is physical (proper common motion) [Shaya & Olling (2011)]

A yet undetected planet?

- HARPS Survey v_{Doppler} < 2 m/s [Mayor et al. (2003)]
- No hint for a planet with a ≤ 5 − 10 AU

The Binary Companion ζ^1 Reticuli

Assumptions and Analytical Predictions

DATA AND ASSUMPTIONS

Projected distance 3713 AU Assumed present-day location of ζ^1 Reticuli at apoastron

ANALYTICAL PREDICTIONS

Using the expression for the forced eccentricity on a planetesimal located at \sim 100 AU gives

 $e_{\textit{binary}} \geq 0.815$

This involves : $a_{binary} \sim 2046 \text{ AU}$ Although highly eccentric, not unlikely (Duquennoy & Mayor 1991)

Let's put this orbit to test numerically, using a N-body symplectic code.

The Binary Companion ζ^1 Reticuli Numerical Study



CONCLUSION

 ζ^1 Reticuli highly probably not responsible for the disk eccentric structure.

PLANETARY PERTURBERS AN INNER PERTURBER

e

CONSTRAINTS & PARAMETRIC EXPLORATION

Disk inner edge due to a perturber's chaotic zone (resonance overlap).

$$m = 0.2 - 0.4 - 0.6$$
 $m = 0.1 - 0.5 - 1M_{Ju}$



PLANETARY PERTURBERS AN OUTER PERTURBER

PARAMETRIC EXPLORATION

3 parameters explored : eccentricity, mass, periastron

 $\begin{array}{l} e = 0.2 - 0.4 - 0.6 & m = 0.1 - 1 - 2 M_{Jup} \\ q = 150 - 200 - 250 AU \end{array}$



▲□▶▲圖▶★≣▶★≣▶ 運営 のQ@

PLANETARY PERTURBERS An Outer Perturber



Conclusions & Prospects

Conclusions & Prospects Conclusions



INNER PERTURBER

- Lower mass limit seems to be 0.1*M*_{Jup}
- Best candidates have $m = 0.5 1 M_{Jup}$ with e = 0.4

OUTER PERTURBER

- Upper mass limit seems to be 2*M*_{Jup}.
- For periastrons in the range 150-250 AU, masses are between $0.1 1M_{Jup}$ and $e \gtrsim 0.3$.

Conclusions & Prospects

Conclusions & Prospects Prospects

CREATE SYNTHETIC IMAGES

- Dust distribution & Radiation pressure
- Dust emissivity with GraTer (see e.g. Lebreton et al. 2012)
- Instrument effect
- Compare with Herschel/PACS images



NEW OBSERVATIONS

ALMA Cycle 1 Proposal submitted



ション 人口 アメルマン 山田 シング

Conclusions & Prospects

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION !



HR 4796, Schneider et al. 1999, NICMOS, **10 Myr**





HD 202268, Krist et al. 2012, HST/STIS coronography, 2 Gyr

And thanks to the Ciment people !

- Dunes First Results Eiroa C. et al, 2010, A&A 518, L131.
- Henry et al., 1996, AJ, 111, 439.
- Levison et al. 1994, Icarus, Vol. 108, No. 1, p. 18-36.
- Mayor M. et al., 2003, The Messenger, 114, 20.
- Mustill A.J. & Wyatt M.C., 2009, Astron. Soc., 399, 1403-1414.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□≥ ろん⊙

- Noyes et al., 1984, ApJ, 279, 763.
- Reiners & Schmitt, 2003, AA, 398, 647.
- Shaya E.J. & Olling R.P., 2011, ApJs 192 :2.
- Trilling D.E. et al, 2008, ApJ 674, 1086.
- Watson C.A. et al., 2011, MNRAS, 413, L71